

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/823,188	03/29/2001	John Greeven	10004662-1	1218	
759	90 09/09/2003				
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			EXAMINER		
			SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A		
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3653	3653	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/823,188	GREEVEN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Is sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee a fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 22-39 and 48-52.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-21 and 40-47.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet						

Continuation of 10. Other: The claim amendments filed and entered appear to cure claim objections and 112 issues. However, these amendments still do not overcome the prior art. Liff '089 still discloses a controller, a reservior of pharmaceutical in unit doses to an individual paitent, the pharmaceutical being dispensed by a computer controlled dispenser that is connnected to a network. Col. 1, line 67 and col. 2, lines 1-8 indicate that the Liff system dispenses drugs in unit doses for individual patient use as well as filling of weekly and monthly prescriptions, for example. Therefore, the claims remain rejected, as stated in the final action.

DONALD R-WALSH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600